INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

DISTRICT OFFICE: FILE NUMBER:	MVP	Paul Distric								
PROJECT MANAGI PROJECT REVIEW		cca Gruber NATION C	OMPLETI	ED: In th	ne office? Y	\bowtie	N Date	e:May 17, 2	2007	
PROJECT LOCATIO State: Wi County: Oza Center coord	ON INFORM sconsin aukee dinates of si	MATION:	Ale & longit	At the projectude: 43.3		□ 87.9672	N Date	-		
Name of wa					icres: 500 acro	es				
Type of Aquatic Resource1:	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear Ft	Unknown	
Lake										
River										
Stream										
Mudflat										
Sandflat										
Wetlands		1.95 ac.								
Slough										
Prairie Pothole										
Wet Meadow										
Playa Lake										
Vernal Pool										
Natural Pond										
Other Water (identify type)										
1Check appropriate boxes t jurisdictional aquatic resour		scribe type o	f isolated,	non-navigat	ole, intra-state	e water j	present and best	t estimate f	or size of non-	
Migratory Bird Rule Factors1			If Known		If Unknown					
-					Use Best Prof		Professional Jud	essional Judgment		
							Not	Not Al	Not Able to Make Determination	
			Yes	No			Expected to	Determ		
						Occur				
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?								X		
Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that cross state lines?								X		
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?						2	X			
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?						2	X			
1Check appropriate boxes t	hat best des	scribe potent	tial for app	licability of	the Migrator	y Bird R	Rule to apply to	onsite, nor	-jurisdictional,	
isolated, non-navigable, intr	ra-state aqu	atic resource	e area.							
TYPE OF DETERMINAT	TON:	Prelimin	ary [Or	Approve	ed:				

FILE NUMBER: MVP-2007-260-RMG

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING njd (e.g., paragraph 1 site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

Two wetland delineations and on addendum report were received by the Corps from Cedarburg Science for concurrence and jurisdictional determination. After reviewing data sheets, soil surveys, wetland inventory maps, Aerial Photographs, and SEWRPC maps the Corps have found wetlands 1 and 5 to be isolated. These wetlands have no surface water resource that are adjacent or tributary to any water of the US. No interstate commerce nexus was found. For this reason the Corps has no jurisdiction over wetland 1 and 5.

Wetlands 1,2,3 and 4 are all waters of the US. They are directly associated with unnamed tributaries to the Milwaukee River, a navigable water of the US. The Corps has regulatory jurisdiction over these waters.